

Individual/consolidated scientific quality assessment form for round 2 of Research and Innovation Project (PIP) applications

Individual/consolidated assessment of the project submission		
Project title:		
Expert(s):		
1.	Criterion: Scientific excellence of the project proposal	Maximum 5 points
1.1.	Consideration: scientific validity, reliability and novelty of the research	
1.2.	Consideration: scientific quality of the chosen research strategy and methodological approaches, and relevance to the objectives	
1.3.	Consideration: ability to generate new knowledge or technological insights	
1.4.	Consideration: contribution of the cooperation partners (if any), their scientific capacity, the planned quality of the cooperation	
2.	Criterion: Impact of project results	Maximum 5 points
2.1.	Consideration: expected transfer of acquired knowledge and skills to future activities and scientific capacity development	
2.2.	Consideration: opportunities for research development, including contribution to the preparation of new projects for submission to calls for proposals under the European Union's Framework Programmes for Research and Innovation and other research and innovation support programmes and technology initiatives	
2.3.	Consideration: the research will lead to knowledge or policy recommendations and solutions relevant to the objectives of the Programme, the sector concerned, the economy and society	

2.4.	Consideration: sustainability of the knowledge generated and a qualitative dissemination plan, including planned scientific publications and public	
2.5.	Consideration: the implementation of the study contributes to strengthening the scientific capacities of the research staff, including students	
3.	Criterion: Project implementation	Maximum 5 points
3.1.	Consideration: quality of the study's work plan and its relevance to the objective. The resources foreseen are adequate and sufficient to achieve the objective. The study is designed to ensure efficient use of resources. The planned work steps and tasks are clearly defined, relevant and credible	
3.2.	Consideration: scientific qualifications of the project leader and of the main contractors, based on the curricula vitae (CV) submitted	
3.3.	Consideration: Project quality management is foreseen. The management organisation allows to follow the progress of the study. Potential risks are assessed and a plan is developed to avoid or mitigate them	
3.4.	Consideration: existence of the research infrastructure needed to carry out the study and access to other research infrastructure of the collaborating partners (if applicable)	
3.5.	Consideration: the institution carrying out the research and its collaborating partners (if applicable) have the necessary experience to implement the project	